UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,583	04/15/2004	Joachim Schmidt	2133.034USU	8182
Charles N. J. Ru	7590 12/15/200 uggiero, Esa.	EXAMINER		
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, LLP 10th Floor One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2439	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/825,583	SCHMIDT, JOACHIM		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christian LaForgia	2439		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudiny reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	a) accepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai			

Application/Control Number: 10/825,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2439

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 October 2009 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-21 and 23 have been presented for examination.
- 3. Claim 22 has been cancelled as per applicant's amendment.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 19 August 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. The applicant argues that the prior art does not teach that "the respective redundant information based solely on all the security-relevant data of the respective one packet is transmitted in a separate packet." The examiner disagrees. The examiner holds that the respective redundant information based solely on all the security relevant data of the respective one packet is drawn to the forward error correction (FEC) information. As Katsavounidis discloses at paragraph 0016, "FEC coding is efficiently and selectively applied in real-time to important data. . . [t]his selected important data may be located in a packet between a packet resync field and a motion marker." The FEC information is drawn to the redundant information based on the security relevant data (i.e. important data). As noted in the prior office actions, and

again below, paragraphs 0017 and 0018 indicate that the FEC data is transmitted in a separate packet. Therefore, the prior art discloses the argued limitation and the rejection is maintained.

- 6. Applicant's arguments amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.
- 7. See further rejections set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0053454 A1 to Katsavounidis et al., hereinafter Katsavounidis.
- 10. As per claim 1, Katsavounidis teaches a process for the packet-oriented transmission of data under application of at least one transmission system with a parallel and/or serial network and/or bus system with at least one user connected to it, the process, comprising:

transmitting the security-relevant data (paragraphs 0017, 0035, i.e. transmission of video information comprising user data identifier codes) and redundant information, respectively, based solely on all the data of a respective one packet (paragraphs 0016-0017, i.e. using forward error correction; one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that forward error correction is a technique of error control for data transmission, whereby the sender adds redundant data to its messages);

wherein the security-relevant data is transmitted in at least one packet and each of the respective redundant information based solely on all the security-relevant data of the respective

Art Unit: 2439

one packet is transmitted in a separate packet (paragraphs 0016, 0017, 0018, i.e. transmitting the forward error correction bits in a separate packet);

wherein the security-relevant data of each packet has security-relevant data comprising user data and check data (paragraphs 0017, 0035, 0249, i.e. user data identifier codes and CRC);

wherein communication participants verify the proper function of other participants via checking transmission paths over signal chains by exchanging relevant check block data of said check data (paragraphs 0017, 0035, 0158-0159, 0249, i.e. checking transmission related parameters such as channel noise feedback; furthermore, checking the validity of a CRC field is well-known and commonly practiced in the art).

- 11. Regarding claim 2, Katsavounidis teaches that the redundant information is encoded (Figure 1B [block 106B], paragraphs 0037, 0040).
- 12. Regarding claim 3, Katsavounidis teaches that the redundant information is a check sum (CRC) calculated over the data (paragraphs 0016-0017, i.e. using forward error correction; one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that forward error correction includes the use of a checksum).
- 13. Regarding claim 4, Katsavounidis teaches that the security-relevant data is selected from the group consisting of user data (paragraph 0017, user data identifier codes), check data, and control.

14. Regarding claim 5, Katsavounidis teaches transmitting several packets within a predefined (superset) frame structure (Abstract, paragraph 0017-19, i.e. plurality of frame packets).

- 15. With regards to claim 6, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the packets within a predefined (superset) frame structure include the security-relevant data and the redundant information that are allocated to each other (paragraph 0017-19).
- 16. Concerning claim 7, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the packets with the security-relevant data and the redundant information that are allocated to each other are transmitted in a parallel or serial way (Figure 1A [element 120], paragraph 0037, i.e. communication networks can communicate both in parallel and serially).
- 17. Concerning claim 8, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the packets with the security-relevant data and the redundant information that are allocated to each other are transmitted in strings or separately (paragraphs 0017, 0018, i.e. transmitting the forward error correction bits in a separate packet).
- 18. Regarding claim 9, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the packets include an addressing block and/or an identification code for their logical allocation (paragraph 0016, i.e. packet header information includes address information).

Page 6

19. As per claims 10, Katsavounidis teaches a device for a transmission system with at least one parallel and/or serial network and/or bus system, for the packet-oriented transmission of security-relevant data comprising:

means, arranged on the side of the sender, for the packet-oriented embedding of the security-relevant data into at least one packet (paragraphs 0017, 0035, i.e. transmission of video information comprising user data identifier codes) and for the packet-oriented embedding of each allocated redundant information respectively based solely on all the security relevant data of a respective one packet into a separate packet (paragraphs 0017, 0018, i.e. transmitting the forward error correction bits in a separate packet);

wherein the security-relevant data of each packet has security-relevant data comprising user data and check data (paragraphs 0017, 0035, 0249, i.e. user data identifier codes and CRC);

wherein communication participants verify the proper function of other participants via checking transmission paths over signal chains by exchanging relevant check block data of said check data (paragraphs 0017, 0035, 0158-0159, 0249, i.e. checking transmission related parameters such as channel noise feedback; furthermore, checking the validity of a CRC field is well-known and commonly practiced in the art).

- 20. Regarding claim 11, Katsavounidis teaches an encoding device for the encoding of the redundant information (Figure 1B [block 106B], paragraphs 0037, 0040).
- 21. Regarding claim 12, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the means for embedding are allocated means for the generation of the redundant information with the same number of bits (n)

Page 7

as the security-relevant data to be transmitted (paragraphs 0016-0017, i.e. using forward error correction).

- 22. Regarding claim 13, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the means for the generation and/or embedding are designed such that any possible combination of the security-oriented data of a packet unambiguously results in exactly one of the possible combinations within the packet having the respective allocated redundant information (paragraphs 0017, 0018, i.e. forward error correction).
- 23. Regarding claim 14, Katsavounidis teaches means arranged on the side of the receiver for the verification of an error-free data transmission based solely on all the security-relevant data embedded in at least one packet and the allocated redundant information, wherein each redundant information based solely on all the security relevant data of a respective on packet is embedded in a separate packet (paragraphs 0020, 0021, 0037, 0038, i.e. decoding the received data).
- 24. With regards to claim 15, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the means for the verification are allocated means for reading out and allocating data and allocated redundant information received in different packets (paragraphs 0020, 0021, 0037, 0038).
- 25. Regarding claim 16, Katsavounidis teaches wherein several packets with the security-relevant data and/or the allocated redundant information are capable of being transmitted within

a predefined (superset) frame structure (Abstract, paragraph 0017-19, i.e. plurality of frame packets).

- 26. Regarding claim 17, Katsavounidis teaches means for the packet-oriented embedding and readout of addressing blocks and/or identification codes for the logical allocation of individual packets and/or their contents to each other (paragraph 0016, i.e. packet header information includes address information).
- 27. Regarding claim 18, Katsavounidis teaches means are allocated to slave devices and/or a master device (paragraph 0038).
- 28. As per claim 19, Katsavounidis teaches a transmission system comprising: at least one parallel and/or serial network and/or bus system (Figure 1A [element 120], paragraph 0037); and
 - at least one device according to claim 10 (see rejection of claim 10 above).
- 29. Regarding claim 20, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the network and/or bus system is at least one ring-, line-, star- and/or tree-shaped network and/or bus structure (Figure 1A [element 120], paragraph 0037).
- 30. Regarding claim 21, Katsavounidis teaches wherein the network and/or bus system is at

Application/Control Number: 10/825,583 Page 9

Art Unit: 2439

least one selected from the group consisting of Interbus, one Ethernet, one Profibus, and one CAN (paragraph 0037).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 31. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 32. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsavounidis in view of U.S. Patent No. 2003/0200323 A1 to Dold et al., hereinafter Dold.
- 33. Regarding claim 23, Katsavounidis does not teach wherein the at least one parallel and/or serial network and/or bus system comprises an Interbus system.
- 34. Dold teaches that Interbus is interchangeable with bus protocols such as CAN, Profibus, Ethernet, ASI, DeviceNet or CANopen (paragraph 0013, claim 2).
- 35. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to interchange Interbus with one of the communication protocols discussed in Katsavounidis, since one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that switching out the communication protocol would yield predictable results, especially since the prior art shows that they are interchangeable. See *KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1395 (2007).

Conclusion

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian LaForgia whose telephone number is (571)272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,583 Page 10

Art Unit: 2439

37. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

38. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christian LaForgia/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439

clf